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High and Volatile
World energy requirements increasing

- Energy consumption forecast to increase 52% by 2030

Growth in Global Energy Consumption, 2007 vs. 2030

Quadrillion BTUs

Year

2007
225
247

2030
413
309

Non OECD
OECD
Asia leading the growth

- China accounts for most of the demand growth
- Developed economies growing at 1% or less

Growth in Energy Consumption by World Area

Note: Size of bubble proportional to actual energy consumption
Production falling behind

• Many locations declining in production

US and North Sea Oil Production

*estimated
Global oil supply vs. demand

Gap Between Oil Demand and Oil Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percent of Demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$27/bbl in 2004

$61/bbl in 2006
Higher oil prices here to stay?

Weighted Average World Oil Spot Prices 1989-2007

Dollars Per Barrel (nominal)
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Risk & uncertainty: the new enemy

Percent Change in Natural Gas Price, November 2005 – April 2007

Sources: Energy Information Administration, Boston Logistics Group
Material prices gone wild

Selected Producer Price Indices (1994=100)

Source: Boston Logistics Group analysis of multiple data sources, including Global Insight
The End of Lean?
Budgets are off; variance is increasing

- Expense: 50% are over-budget, 62% worsening
- Capital: 60% are over-budget, 73% worsening
Energy on the brain

Reasons for Budget Variance

- Energy: 27%
- Currency: 3%
- Metals: 7%
- Other Material: 20%
- Labor: 10%
- Internal: 33%

Biggest Budgeting Concern

- Energy: 29%
- Other Material: 36%
- Metals: 23%
- Currency: 3%
- Labor: 6%
- Others: 3%
Energy economics reversing lean?

- Decentralizing operations?
- Holding more buffer stock?
- Affecting off-shoring decisions?
- Indirect economic drag?
Stability as important as low cost

![Graph showing the importance of stability compared to low cost across different percent of respondents.]

- **Y-axis**: Percent of Respondents
- **X-axis**: Importance
- **Legend**:
  - Blue line: Stable Prices
  - Red line: Low Cost

The graph illustrates that stability is considered as important as low cost by respondents, with a significant percentage rating it highly.
Inventory: inflection point?

Inventory as a Percent of GDP

% of GDP

Low-cost country sourcing reversion?

Emphasis on Low-Cost Country Sourcing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emphasis (1=None; 5=Dominant)</th>
<th>Percent Sourced from Low-Cost Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2011</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Retailers
- CPG Companies
- All Industries
Logistics cost increasing consumer prices?

Logistics Cost as a Percent of GDP

![Graph showing logistics cost as a percent of GDP from 1984 to 2009, with a decrease from 14% to 8% over time.]
8 Buying Strategies
A fragmented buy

- Purchasing
- Transportation
- Materials
- Logistics
- Distribution
- Warehousing

Percent of Companies Centralizing the Energy Buy

- Decentralized 76%
- Centralized 24%
Fragmented

- “[We have] 18 regional business units in the US and each one is responsible for its own energy expenditures” – Bryan Warshofsky, Director of Purchasing Applications.
- “We found that we were spending 20% more at one manufacturing plant than at an identical one in another state.” – Jacques Lalauze, Gemalto
- “[There is] a lot of gray area. It is often fragmented among Facilities, Transportation, Indirect, Manufacturing, Logistics, and Operations.” – Corporate Purchasing Manager, US consumer electronics retailer
- “Each plant manages it decentrally” – Henry Turner, Honda
- “Several folks manage power contracts” – Rich Walters, Air Products
A large but invisible expenditure

Energy as a Percent of Sales

Percent of Sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mfg.</th>
<th>Retail</th>
<th>Carriers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In other purchased materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From energy suppliers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential strategies

Supply Chain Strategies

1. Centralize purchasing
2. Join a buying consortium
3. Sign contracts at forecast rates
4. Reduce consumption (value engineering)

Financial Strategies

5. Buy in advance at the current price
6. Buy options

Pricing Strategies

7. Pass costs on via a floating surcharge
8. Pass costs on and embed in the product price
Overall strategy performance

Cumulative Cost of Each Strategy, October 2005-October 2006

Most strategies have similar results

Pass-through pricing scenarios

- Centralized Purchasing
- Price Increase
- Surcharges
- Stockpile
- Options
- Contracts
- Buying consortium
- Value Engineering

Millions of US Dollars
The best strategies

- Buying consortium
- Centralized Purchasing
- Value Engineering
- Options
- Stockpile
- Contracts
- Surcharges
- Price Increase
- Spot

Chart shows the percentage impacts of various strategies on gas and oil prices.
Hybrid strategy saves significantly

Cumulative Difference Between Managed and Unmanaged Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Un-managed</th>
<th>Managed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr-06</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-06</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-06</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-06</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-06</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-06</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Energy Costs Millions

- Un-managed
- Managed
The Bottom Line
Keeping perspective: the long view

Evolution of U.S. Energy

Quadrillions of BTUs Consumed per Year
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Manufacturers: stay lean and manage the spend

• Don’t reverse lean
  • Energy costs dwarf vs. benefits of being lean

• Establish a program to manage energy spend
  • Any strategy 10-100% better than none

• Re-assess mode, frequency quarterly
  • Unpredictable fuel prices and surcharges need alerts

• When off-shoring, consider whether a doubling of oil prices would change the decision
  • Dual sourcing may become necessary at higher oil prices
Realign budgets more than once a year

- 83% have a yearly planning horizon
Advice for carriers

• Don’t rush to develop alternative fuel vehicles.
  • Most AFV technologies are in their infancies and many companies cannot justify investing in them at present.

• Actively manage fuel spend.
  • Carriers, especially airlines and ocean shipping lines, that proactively manage fuel expenditures, save 10-15% on fuel.

• Reduce dependence on petroleum.
  • Airports and airlines can cost-effectively modify or replace ground handling equipment to operate on LPG, ethanol, or electricity.

• Assess routes and services for profitability.
  • Carriers should analyze the profitability of routes and services to determine when, where, and how much to refuel based on regional fuel cost advantages.
Advice for policy makers

- Consider supply chain costs and benefits when setting policy for infrastructure and alternate fuel technology.
  - Policy affects, and could reduce, the efficiency, speed, and convenience of supply chains, engendering an unforeseen economic cost.

- Don’t jeopardize infrastructure programs to fund alternative fuels.
  - Currently, US tax breaks for ethanol are funded at the expense of the Federal Highway Trust Fund, while highway infrastructure gaps cause increasing congestion.
Control your destiny!

David Jacoby: djacoby@bostonlogistics.com
Erik Halbert: ehalbert@bostonlogistics.com

Boston Logistics Group helps supply chain executives make critical supply chain decisions that involve investment and risk by forecasting the evolution of supply markets and technologies. Our mission is to help our clients develop globally competitive supply networks that maximize Supply Chain Value.™ Our products and services include:

- **Research** that help investors and policy makers quantify the benefit of emerging technologies and decide whether or not to invest in them
- **Forecasts** that help purchasing managers decide how, where, and when to buy critical externally-purchased materials and services
- **Consulting** that supports high-stakes decisions such as acquisitions, outsourcing, off-shoring, and make-or-buy

**Industries Served:**

- Discrete Manufacturing: Machinery, Equipment, Vehicles, Parts, Mechanical and Electrical Devices
- Transportation: Railroads, Ocean Shipping Lines, Airlines, Trucking Companies, Package Delivery, Intermodal
- Logistics: Dedicated and Third Party Logistics, Ports, Stevedoring, Storage, Material Handling, Distribution, Maintenance, Retail